UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,724	06/26/2003	Andreas Gustafsson	PA2271US	6930
22830 7590 08/16/2007 CARR & FERRELL LLP		EXAMINER		
2200 GENG RO	DAD		PATEL, HETUL B	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2186	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,724	GUSTAFSSON, ANDREAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hetul Patel	2186	

Defere the Filips of an Annual Drief							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hetul Patel	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 08 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
							(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		P	, , , , , ,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,		_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-43.		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented., See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by							
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).		ir condition for allowa	nee because.				
13. Other:	(

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant alleges that Examiner did not address/answer all the arguments pointed out in the previous response submitted on April 05, 2007. Therefore, the finality of the last office action is improper.

Examiner would like to point out to Applicant that all the arguments were responded/answered in the last office action mailed out on June 08, 2007 (See Remarks section on page 8). Since some of the claims are rejected based on the same rationale as the rejection of other claim(s) (for example, claims 25, 29 and 35 are rejected based on the same rationale as rejection of claim 2), the response to the arguments is not repeated for each of these claims..

MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100